

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 02 2007

Certificate of Mailing or Transmission

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that this correspondence along with other possible documents has been deposited with the U.S. Postal Service by first class mail, postage pre-paid, in an envelope addressed to the Mail Stop Missing Parts, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, or facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on May 2, 2007.

Typed Name:
Date:Kevin D. McCarthy
May 2, 2007

Patent 0-06-190 (4962/US/98)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor: Elstein et al.
Serial no.: 09/744,148
Filed: February 27, 2002
Title: SOLAR BLIND UV VIEWING APPARATUS AND CAMERA
Examiner: Shun K. Lee
Art Unit: 2884

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir/Madam:

Response and Amendment

This response is submitted in reply to the office action mailed on April 30, 2007.

In the office action, the examiner wrote, "The amendment document filed on 16 March 2006 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item is required. . . . the text of any deleted matter must be shown by strike-through except that double brackets placed before and after the deleted characters may be used to show deletion of five or fewer consecutive characters."

In the March 16, 2006 submission, Applicant identified what was to be deleted by strikethrough and brackets. Examiner Lee re-iterated the USPTO's latest rule which is set forth above, and we complied with examiner Lee's instructions to have either strikethrough or brackets – not both.

The USPTO wrote on the office action form that for the above-identified non-compliant issue, "the correction required is only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in

--2--

compliance with 37 CFR 1.121." In view of those instructions, we enclose only a corrected version of the claim section of the amendment document filed on 18 December 2006.

Conclusion

It is respectfully submitted that the current claims are patentable over the cited references and rejections. Accordingly, the applicant's request the examiner to allow these claims and an allowance is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted



Kevin D. McCarthy

Reg. No. 35,278

Roach, Brown, McCarthy & Gruber, P.C.
1620 Liberty Building - 420 Main Street
Buffalo, New York 14202